



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

## ON THE HESSIAN OF A PRODUCT OF LINEAR FUNCTIONS.

By DR. F. FRANKLIN, Baltimore, Md.

In a recent number of the ANNALS (Vol. V, p. 17) Mr. James McMahon points out that the Hessian of the binary quantic

$$\alpha_0 (x - \alpha_1 y) (x - \alpha_2 y) \dots (x - \alpha_n y)$$

is equal (apart from a numerical factor) to

$$\alpha_0^2 \Sigma (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^2 (x - \alpha_3 y)^2 (x - \alpha_4 y)^2 \dots (x - \alpha_n y)^2;$$

this equality appearing at once from the consideration that the foregoing expression is a covariant of the same degorder as the Hessian, and that there exists but one covariant of that degorder.

The equality is not at all obvious on a direct examination or computation of the Hessian. On the other hand, it may be directly deduced by a method which applies with equal facility to a product of homogeneous linear functions in any number of variables, and which therefore gives an extension of the above theorem.

Let

$$u = L_1 L_2 \dots L_n,$$

where  $L_1, L_2, \dots$  are linear quantics in  $k$  variables; say

$$L_1 = a_1 x + b_1 y + c_1 z + \dots, \quad L_2 = a_2 x + b_2 y + c_2 z + \dots, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{du}{dx} &= u \left[ \frac{a_1}{L_1} + \frac{a_2}{L_2} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{L_n} \right], \\ \frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} &= u \left( \left[ \frac{a_1}{L_1} + \frac{a_2}{L_2} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{L_n} \right]^2 - \left[ \left( \frac{a_1}{L_1} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{a_2}{L_2} \right)^2 + \dots + \left( \frac{a_n}{L_n} \right)^2 \right] \right), \\ \frac{d^2 u}{dxdy} &= u \left[ \left( \frac{a_1}{L_1} + \frac{a_2}{L_2} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{L_n} \right) \left( \frac{b_1}{L_1} + \frac{b_2}{L_2} + \dots + \frac{b_n}{L_n} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left[ \frac{a_1}{L_1} \cdot \frac{b_1}{L_1} + \frac{a_2}{L_2} \cdot \frac{b_2}{L_2} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{L_n} \cdot \frac{b_n}{L_n} \right] \right]; \end{aligned}$$

or, writing  $\frac{a_i}{L_i} = \alpha_i$ ,  $\frac{b_i}{L_i} = \beta_i$ , etc.,

$$\frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} = u [(\Sigma \alpha)^2 - \Sigma \alpha^2], \quad \frac{d^2 u}{dxdy} = u [\Sigma \alpha \cdot \Sigma \beta - \Sigma \alpha \beta];$$

whence it is plain that, writing  $H$  for the determinant of second derivatives (and not for that determinant divided by a numerical factor),

$$\frac{H}{(-u)^k} = \left| \begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma\alpha & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n \\ \Sigma\beta & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \dots & \beta_n \\ \Sigma\gamma & \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 & \dots & \gamma_n \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \ddots & \cdot \\ & k \text{ rows} & & & \end{array} \right| \cdot \left| \begin{array}{ccccc} -\Sigma\alpha & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n \\ -\Sigma\beta & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \dots & \beta_n \\ -\Sigma\gamma & \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 & \dots & \gamma_n \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \ddots & \cdot \\ & k \text{ rows} & & & \end{array} \right|.$$

The product of these matrices will not be affected if we prefix to the first a row of units, and to the second the row  $1, 0, 0, 0, \dots$ ; for in the border thus introduced the row is  $1, 0, 0, 0, \dots$ , because the sum of the constituents in any row of the second matrix is 0. Hence,

$$\frac{H}{(-u)^k} = \left| \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \Sigma\alpha & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n \\ \Sigma\beta & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \dots & \beta_n \\ \Sigma\gamma & \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 & \dots & \gamma_n \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \ddots & \cdot \\ & (k+1) \text{ rows} & & & \end{array} \right| \cdot \left| \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\Sigma\alpha & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n \\ -\Sigma\beta & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \dots & \beta_n \\ -\Sigma\gamma & \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 & \dots & \gamma_n \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \ddots & \cdot \\ & (k+1) \text{ rows} & & & \end{array} \right|.$$

We may add to any *row* of either of these matrices any linear combination of the remaining rows, just as with determinants (although the like is not true for columns); because it is the same to do this as to do it in each of the separate determinants the sum of whose products is equal to the product of the matrices. Multiplying, then, in the first matrix, the  $\alpha$  row by  $x$ , the  $\beta$  row by  $y$ , the  $\gamma$  row by  $z$ , etc., and subtracting the sum of these products from the first row, we have, since

$$\alpha_i x + \beta_i y + \gamma_i z + \dots = 1,$$

$$\frac{H}{(-u)^k} = \left| \begin{array}{ccccc} -(n-1) & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \Sigma\alpha & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n \\ \Sigma\beta & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \dots & \beta_n \\ \Sigma\gamma & \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 & \dots & \gamma_n \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \ddots & \cdot \\ & (k+1) \text{ rows} & & & \end{array} \right| \cdot \left| \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\Sigma\alpha & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n \\ -\Sigma\beta & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \dots & \beta_n \\ -\Sigma\gamma & \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 & \dots & \gamma_n \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \ddots & \cdot \\ & (k+1) \text{ rows} & & & \end{array} \right|.$$

$$= -(n-1) \Sigma \left| \begin{array}{ccccc} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_k \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \dots & \beta_k \\ \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 & \dots & \gamma_k \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \ddots \\ k \text{ rows} & & & \end{array} \right|^2.$$

Hence,

$$H = - (n-1) (-u)^{k-2} \Sigma (\mathcal{L}_{12\dots k} L_{k+1} L_{k+2} \dots L_n)^2,$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{12\dots k}$  is the determinant of the coefficients of the  $k$  factors  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_k$ .

For example, the Hessian of

$$u = (a_1x + b_1y + c_1z)(a_2x + b_2y + c_2z)(a_3x + b_3y + c_3z)(a_4x + b_4y + c_4z)$$

is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & -3u \left[ \begin{array}{l} \left| \begin{array}{ccc} a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\ b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ c_2 & c_3 & c_4 \end{array} \right|^2 (a_1x + b_1y + c_1z)^2 + \left| \begin{array}{ccc} a_1 & a_3 & a_4 \\ b_1 & b_3 & b_4 \\ c_1 & c_3 & c_4 \end{array} \right|^2 (a_2x + b_2y + c_2z)^2 \\ + \left| \begin{array}{ccc} a_1 & a_2 & a_4 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_4 \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_4 \end{array} \right|^2 (a_3x + b_3y + c_3z)^2 + \left| \begin{array}{ccc} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \end{array} \right|^2 (a_4x + b_4y + c_4z)^2 \end{array} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From the fact that  $-H/(-u)^{k-2}$  is a sum of squares, the following points are obvious in regard to a system of  $n$  *real* linear loci, or flats of  $k-2$  dimensions, in space of  $k-1$  dimensions,  $n$  being supposed equal to or greater than  $k$ :

1°. The Hessian of the system vanishes identically if, and only if, all the  $n$  flats pass through one point.

2°. If no  $k$  of the flats meet in a point, the Hessian consists of the original system of flats counted  $k-2$  times, together with an additional locus of the order  $2(n-k)$ , which is entirely imaginary.

3°. If there be points in which  $k$  or more of the flats meet, the additional locus passes through these points, but is otherwise entirely imaginary.

Of course, a particular case of 2° is the theorem, that if the roots of a real binary quantic are all real and distinct, those of its Hessian are all imaginary.